



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/814,500

03/30/2004

Peter E. Hart

20412-08456

6476

76137 7590 07/03/2008

RICOH/FENWICK  
SILICON VALLEY CENTER  
801 CALIFORNIA STREET  
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, JAMES A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/03/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOC@FENWICK.COM  
aprice@fenwick.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/814,500	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HART ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James A. Thompson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008, 11 April 2008, 23 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.  
     4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-118 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
     a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/11/08, 1/23/08</u>  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12 May 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner agrees with Applicant that the present amendments to the claims distinguish over the previously cited prior art references. However, additional prior art has been discovered which renders the claims obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Since the new grounds of rejection have been necessitated by the present amendments to the claims, the present action is made final.

Further, in response to Applicant's assertion that Examiner uses impermissible hindsight in combining the references to teach a user interface designed so that a user can set the amount of processing for the different processors, Applicant must recognize that user interfaces are ubiquitous in the art. User interfaces are utilized to set all manner of variables, set how programs are to be executed, and so on. Poon already teaches determining how the processing is to be distributed. Adding a user interface or an element to a user interface that lets a user set yet another one of the innumerable items that can be set in a common user interface, in this case the processing distribution, would not only have been easily implementable and common for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, but would also have produced predictable results and would have been the result of ordinary work for one of ordinary skill in the art. Thus, Examiner sees no substantive reason why providing a user interface so the user can set variables that can be set automatically by the computer distinguishes over the prior art or is in any substantive way more than what is normally expected and obvious in the art.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-6, 20-21, 40, 45 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723) and Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639).**

**Regarding claim 1:** Katsuo discloses a system (figure 1 of Katsuo) comprising:

- an interface (figure 1(10) of Katsuo) for receiving input (column 5, lines 25-33 of Katsuo – input with respect to the particular cases, which is needed to compile the parallel program code), the input specifying a multimedia function to perform on the media (column 5, lines 33-44 of Katsuo) and specifying a distribution of processing power for carrying out the specified multimedia function (column 4, lines 22-30 and column 6, lines 40-49 of Katsuo). The image processing is distributed to the available processors (column 4, lines 22-30 of Katsuo) based at least partly on the configuration of the overall parallel processing system (column 6, lines 40-49 of Katsuo).
- a first processing device (figure 1(one of the Arithmetic Processors) of Katsuo), communicatively coupled to the interface (as can clearly be seen in figure 1 of Katsuo), the first processing device adapted to perform the first amount of processing satisfying the distribution of processing power indicated by the received input (column 4, lines 22-30 of Katsuo).
- a second processing device (figure 1(another one of the Arithmetic Processors) of Katsuo) adapted to perform the second amount of processing satisfying the distribution of processing power indicated by the received input (column 4, lines 22-30 of Katsuo).

Katsuo does not disclose expressly that said media data is specifically *time-based* media data; that said interface is a *user interface* (and thus the received input is *user input*); that carrying out the specified multimedia function includes selecting a range of the time-based media; that said first processing device is a printing system, wherein said printing system outputs an instruction to perform a second amount of processing satisfying the distribution of processing power indicated by the received user input; and that the processing device *receives the instruction from the printer* and performs the second amount of processing *in response to the instruction from the printer*.

Sugiyama discloses a printing system (figure 1 of Sugiyama) for performing a specified multimedia function on time-based media data (column 3, lines 41-61 of Sugiyama – frame(s) of video data are captured in a particular format, and various image processing operations are performed, based on condition settings), wherein carrying out the specified multimedia function includes selecting a range of the time-based media (column 3, lines 57-61 and column 4, lines 43-64 of Sugiyama – printing system

Art Unit: 2625

captures a number of frames set by the user within a range based on when the user selects the particular frames for capture); and a user interface (figure 1(21-25) of Sugiyama) for receiving user selections of processing parameters (column 3, line 57 to column 4, line 8 of Sugiyama).

Katsuo and Sugiyama are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely processing multimedia data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to specifically process time-based media data on a printing system, as taught by Sugiyama, said processor on said printing device being part of the overall parallel processing system taught by Katsuo. Thus, the first processing device taught by Katsuo is the printing system taught by Sugiyama. The second processing device taught by Sugiyama then simply becomes the processing device. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a useful type of video processing and printing apparatus. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would easily have recognized the utility of being able to print directly from the processing device that performs multimedia image data processing. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a user interface for setting processing parameters, as taught by Sugiyama, wherein said processing parameters (received by the user input) include the amount of processing to be performed by the printer and the processing device. The suggestion for doing so would have been that the user interface taught by Sugiyama enhances the ease with which process settings are performed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Sugiyama with Katsuo.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said printing system outputs an instruction to perform a second amount of processing satisfying the distribution of processing power indicated by the received user input; and that the processing device *receives the instruction from the printer* and performs the second amount of processing *in response to the instruction from the printer*.

Poon discloses a master processor (page 635, figure 4 (“master”) of Poon) which outputs an instruct to a slave processing device (page 635, figure 4(“slave 1”) of Poon) to perform an amount of processing satisfying the distribution of processing power indicated by received input (page 635, left column, lines 1-10 of Poon); and the slave processing device receives the instruction from the master processing device and performs the amount of processing in response to the instruction from the master processor (page 635, left column, lines 1-10 of Poon).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Poon because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely parallel processing of digital image data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a master-slave configuration, as taught by Poon, instead of the parallel configuration taught by Katsuo, wherein the printer is the master processor

Art Unit: 2625

and the processing device is one of the slave processors. Thus, by combination, said printing system instructs the processing device to perform the second amount of processing indicated by the received input; and that the processing device performs the second amount of processing in response to instruction from the printer. The motivation for doing so would have been to avoid much of the blocking due to message-passing communication (page 635, left column, lines 14-18 of Poon), thus improving the parallel processing performance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Poon with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

**Regarding claim 2:** Katsuo discloses that the processing device includes the interface (figure 1 (10) and column 5, lines 25-33 of Katsuo – input with respect to the particular cases, which is needed to compile the parallel program code). As set forth above in the arguments regarding claim 1, said interface is a user interface.

**Further regarding claim 3:** Sugiyama discloses that the printer includes the user interface (figure 1(21-25) and column 3, lines 41-50 Sugiyama).

**Regarding claim 4:** Katsuo discloses that the interface is on a device separate from the processing device and the printer (figure 1(10) and column 5, lines 25-33 of Katsuo). Since the interface is a host computer, the interface can be considered separate from both the processing device and the printer. Further, as set forth above in the arguments regarding claim 1, said interface is a user interface.

**Regarding claims 5/2-5/4:** Katsuo discloses that the interface (user interface *as per* combination with Sugiyama) displays status information about the performance of the multimedia function (column 6, lines 40-49 of Katsuo).

**Regarding claim 6:** Katsuo discloses that the processing device is a personal computer (column 4, lines 8-15 of Katsuo). Each arithmetic processor receives and executes computer program code. Thus, the processing device (second arithmetic processor) is a personal computer.

**Further regarding claim 20:** Sugiyama discloses that the multimedia function includes selecting a range of video data in response to received input from the user (column 3, lines 57-61 and column 4, lines 43-64 of Sugiyama – printing system captures a number of frames set by the user within a range based on when the user selects the particular frames for capture).

**Further regarding claim 21:** Sugiyama discloses that the multimedia function includes applying a video event detection function to the time-based media data (column 4, lines 51-64 of Sugiyama).

**Further regarding claim 40:** Sugiyama discloses that the multimedia function includes applying a visual inspection function to the time-based media data (column 4, lines 51-64 of Sugiyama).

**Further regarding claim 45:** Sugiyama discloses that the user interface is configured to allow a user to control a multimedia server (column 3, lines 41-50 of Sugiyama).

**Further regarding claim 55:** Sugiyama discloses that the processor is further configured to display results of the multi-media function on the display of the user interface (column 4, lines 51-64 of Sugiyama).

**4. Claims 7-9, 12-13, 23-28, 47-48, 67 and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 7:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes selecting a range of audio data in response to received input from the user.

Chino discloses selecting a range of audio data in response to received input from the user (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino). Only the audio data that is intended to be input by the user is input in response to the appropriate user input, while any other noise is ignored by the system (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the user to input only a specifically desired range of audio data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

**Regarding claim 12:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a sound source localization function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a sound source localization function to time-based media data (column 13, lines 5-14 of Chino). By using the gaze object detection portion of the multi-modal interface apparatus, the audio sound source localization is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a sound source

Art Unit: 2625

localization function to the time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to ensure that user input is intended, and the user is not speaking to someone else (column 1, lines 52-58 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 12.

**Regarding claims 8 and 13:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying audio event detection to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying audio event detection to the time-based media data (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino). The system detects when audio data is intended to be input by the user, while any other noise is ignored by the system (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to detect audio data events, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claims 8 and 13.

**Regarding claim 9:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes determining a confidence level associated with the audio event detection.

Chino discloses that an audio event is detected (column 14, lines 8-11 of Chino) based on specific criteria that are to be met to the satisfaction of a computer automated system (column 14, lines 11-19 of Chino). Thus, a confidence level associated with the audio event detection is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to detect audio data events based on a determined confidence level, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 9.

**Regarding claim 23:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face detection function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face detection function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25-27 of Chino).



Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face detection function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 23.

**Further regarding claim 24:** Chino discloses applying a clustering function to the time-based media data to merge multiple instances of a face into a representative image (column 26, lines 1-12 of Chino).

**Regarding claim 25:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face recognition function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25-27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 25.

**Regarding claim 26:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying an optical character recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying an optical character recognition function to time-based media data (figure 3(102j) and column 7, lines 14-18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply an optical character recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that character recognition from an electronic pen is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 2625

have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 26.

**Further regarding claim 27:** Chino discloses applying a clustering function to the time-based media data to merge similar results of the optical character recognition (column 7, lines 15-21 of Chino). The particular language input by the user, such as German, Russian and Chinese, which use different character sets, is detected. The particular language determines the cluster of characters to use in optical character recognition (column 7, lines 15-21 of Chino).

**Regarding claim 28:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying a motion analysis function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a motion analysis function to time-based media data (figure 3(102f) and column 7, lines 33-38 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a motion analysis function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that detection of a user's motion and gestures is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 28.

**Regarding claim 47:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said user interface is configured to allow a user to control audio sound localization hardware.

Chino discloses controlling audio sound localization hardware (column 13, lines 5-14 of Chino). By using the gaze object detection portion of the multi-modal interface apparatus, the audio sound localization is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the user interface taught by Sugiyama to allow a user to control audio sound localization hardware, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to ensure that user input is intended, and the user is not speaking to someone else (column 1, lines 52-58 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 47.

**Regarding claim 48:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said user interface is configured to allow a user to control motion detection hardware.

Chino discloses controlling motion detection hardware (figure 3(102f) and column 7, lines 33-38 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the user interface taught by Sugiyama to allow a user to control motion detection hardware, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that detection of a user's motion and gestures is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 48.

**Regarding claim 67:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the processing device is audio sound localization hardware.

Chino discloses controlling as an output device audio sound localization hardware (column 13, lines 5-14 of Chino). By using the gaze object detection portion of the multi-modal interface apparatus, the audio sound localization is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to output audio data through audio sound localization hardware, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to ensure that user input is intended, and the user is not speaking to someone else (column 1, lines 52-58 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 67.

**Regarding claim 79:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the processing device is hardware for capturing data from an electronic pen.

Chino discloses controlling as an output device hardware for capturing data from an electronic pen (figure 3(102i) and column 7, lines 14-16 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of digital data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use hardware for capturing data from an electronic pen, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that an electronic pen is

Art Unit: 2625

simply another useful output device that provides digital data a user may wish to obtain (figure 3 and column 6, lines 66-67 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 79.

**5. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Kametani (US Patent 5,091,948).**

**Regarding claim 10:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speaker segmentation function to the time-based media data.

Kametani discloses applying a speaker segmentation function to time-based media data (figure 3d and column 5, lines 5–9 and lines 29-33 of Kametani).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Kametani because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speaker segmentation function to said time-based media data, as taught by Kametani. The motivation for doing so would have been that using a speaker segmentation function extracts parameters that uniquely identify a speaker, thus improving the level of speaker discrimination (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kametani). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kametani with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 10.

**Further regarding claims 11/1 and 11/10:** Kametani discloses applying a speaker recognition function to said time-based media data (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kametani).

**6. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Halverson (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0101513 A1).**

**Regarding claim 14:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speech recognition function to said time-based media data.

Art Unit: 2625

Halverson discloses applying a speech recognition function to time-based media data (para. 24, lines 2-5 and para. 25, lines 21-23 of Halverson).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Halverson because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speech recognition function, as taught by Halverson. The motivation for doing so would have been that speech is a useful and natural form of human input (para. 25, lines 11-14 of Halverson). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Halverson with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 14.

**Further regarding claim 15:** Halverson discloses applying a profile analysis function to the time-based media data (para. 23, lines 4-7 of Halverson).

**7. Claims 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), Halverson (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0101513 A1), and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 16:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Halverson does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying audio event detection to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying audio event detection to the time-based media data (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino). The system detects when audio data is intended to be input by the user, while any other noise is ignored by the system (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Halverson is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to detect audio data events, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Halverson to obtain the invention as specified in claim 16.

**Regarding claim 19:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Halverson does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a sound source localization function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a sound source localization function to time-based media data (column 13, lines 5-14 of Chino). By using the gaze object detection portion of the multi-modal interface apparatus, the audio sound source localization is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Halverson is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a sound source localization function to the time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to ensure that user input is intended, and the user is not speaking to someone else (column 1, lines 52-58 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Halverson to obtain the invention as specified in claim 19.

**8. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), Halverson (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0101513 A1), Chino (US Patent 6,118,888), and Kametani (US Patent 5,091,948).**

**Regarding claim 17:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon, Halverson and Chino does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speaker recognition function to the time-based media data.

Kametani discloses applying a speaker recognition function to said time-based media data (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kametani).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon, Halverson and Chino is combinable with Kametani because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speaker recognition function to said time-based media data, as taught by Kametani. The motivation for doing so would have been that using a speaker recognition function extracts parameters that uniquely identify a speaker, thus improving the level of speaker discrimination (column 5, lines 29-35 of

Art Unit: 2625

Kametani). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kametani with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon, Halverson and Chino to obtain the invention as specified in claim 17.

**Regarding claim 18:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon, Halverson and Chino does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speaker segmentation function to the time-based media data.

Kametani discloses applying a speaker segmentation function to time-based media data (figure 3d and column 5, lines 5–9 and lines 29-33 of Kametani).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon, Halverson and Chino is combinable with Kametani because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speaker segmentation function to said time-based media data, as taught by Kametani. The motivation for doing so would have been that using a speaker segmentation function extracts parameters that uniquely identify a speaker, thus improving the level of speaker discrimination (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kametani). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kametani with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon, Halverson and Chino to obtain the invention as specified in claim 18.

**9. Claims 22 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Krumm (US Patent 6,611,622 B1).**

**Regarding claim 22:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a color histogram analysis function to said time-based media data.

Krumm discloses applying a color histogram analysis function to time-based media data (figure 2 (202) and column 8, lines 46-47 of Krumm).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Krumm because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a color histogram analysis function to the time-based media data, as taught by Krumm. The motivation for doing so would have been to better identify people or objects in scenes generated subsequent to a model scene (column 8, lines 53-58 of Krumm). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Krumm with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 22.

**Regarding claim 30:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a foreground/background segmentation function to said time-based media data.

Krumm discloses applying a foreground/background segmentation function to time-based media data (column 10, lines 13-15 of Krumm).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Krumm because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a foreground/background segmentation function to the time-based media data, as taught by Krumm. The motivation for doing so would have been that the foreground segment is needed to further segment for the purpose of identifying people and objects in an image (column 10, lines 15-18 of Krumm). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Krumm with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 30.

**Regarding claim 31:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a scene segmentation function to said time-based media data.

Krumm discloses applying a scene segmentation function to time-based media data (column 10, lines 15-18 of Krumm).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Krumm because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a scene segmentation function to the time-based media data, as taught by Krumm. The motivation for doing so would have been that segmenting the foreground scene is needed to identify people and objects in an image (column 10, lines 15-18 of Krumm). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Krumm with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 31.

**10. Claim 29/1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Kim (US Patent 6,594,377 B1).**

**Regarding claim 29/1:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a distance estimation function to said time-based media data.



Art Unit: 2625

Kim discloses applying a distance estimation to image media data (column 3, lines 33-36 of Kim).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Kim because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply distance estimation, as taught by Kim, to the time-based media data taught by Sugiyama. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine if the user, or a relevant part of the user, is within the required operational range (column 4, lines 28-34 of Kim). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kim with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 29/1.

**11. Claim 29/28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), Chino (US Patent 6,118,888), and Kim (US Patent 6,594,377 B1).**

**Regarding claim 29/28:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Chino does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a distance estimation function to said time-based media data.

Kim discloses applying a distance estimation to image media data (column 3, lines 33-36 of Kim).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Chino is combinable with Kim because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply distance estimation, as taught by Kim, to the time-based media data taught by Sugiyama. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine if the user, or a relevant part of the user, is within the required operational range (column 4, lines 28-34 of Kim). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kim with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Chino to obtain the invention as specified in claim 29/28.

Art Unit: 2625

**12. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), Krumm (US Patent 6,611,622 B1), and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 32:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face recognition function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user’s face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 32.

**Regarding claim 33:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face detection function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face detection function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face detection function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user’s face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 33.

**Regarding claim 34:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying an optical character recognition function to the time-based media data.

Art Unit: 2625

Chino discloses applying an optical character recognition function to time-based media data (figure 3(102j) and column 7, lines 14–18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply an optical character recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that character recognition from an electronic pen is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 34.

**Regarding claim 35:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face recognition function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 35.

**Regarding claim 36:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face detection function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face detection function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face detection function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face

Art Unit: 2625

(column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 36.

**13. Claims 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Gerber (US Patent 5,568,406).**

**Regarding claim 37:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying an automobile recognition function to said time-based media data.

Gerber discloses applying an automobile recognition function to time-based media data (column 8, lines 42-45 of Gerber).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Gerber because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based image data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply an automobile recognition function to said time-based media data, as taught by Gerber. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine from the time-based media data whether or not the automobile in the time-based media data is stolen (column 8, lines 45-46 of Gerber). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Gerber with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 37.

**Regarding claim 39:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a license plate recognition function to said time-based media data.

Gerber discloses applying a license plate recognition function to time-based media data (column 3, lines 42-47 and lines 63-64 of Gerber).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Gerber because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based image data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a license plate recognition function to said time-based media data, as taught by Gerber. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine from the time-based media data whether or not the automobile in the time-based media data is stolen (column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 2 of Gerber). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Gerber with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 39.

Art Unit: 2625

**14. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), Gerber (US Patent 5,568,406), and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 38:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Gerber does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying a motion analysis function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a motion analysis function to time-based media data (figure 3(102f) and column 7, lines 33-38 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Gerber is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a motion analysis function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that detection of a user’s motion and gestures is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Gerber to obtain the invention as specified in claim 38.

**15. Claims 41-44, 49-50, 52, 56-63, 68, 70, 78 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Hymel (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0220988 A1).**

**Regarding claims 41-44, 49-50 and 52:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said user interface is configured to allow a user to control a compact disc (CD) device, a digital video disc (DVD) device, an audio tape device, a video tape device, a MIDI player, a cellular telephone, and/or a world wide web display.

Hymel discloses a user interface configured to allow a user to control (para. 10, lines 1-5 of Hymel) a compact disc (CD) device (para. 10, lines 14-15 and lines 19-20 of Hymel), a digital video disc (DVD) device (para. 10, lines 14-15 and lines 20-21 of Hymel), an audio tape device (audio tape device is a type of audio player, MP3 player is merely an example) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 19 of Hymel), a

Art Unit: 2625

video tape device (digital camcorder, which, as is well-known in the art, uses a digital video (DV) cassette tape) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 20 of Hymel), a MIDI player (MIDI player is a type of audio player, MP3 player is merely an example) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 19 of Hymel), a cellular telephone (para. 10, lines 14-15 of Hymel), and/or a world wide web display (figure 1(130) and para. 11, lines 1-10 of Hymel).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Hymel because they are from similar problem solving areas, namely the control of data storage and output. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the user interface taught by Sugiyama so that the user interface allows a user to control a compact disc (CD) device, a digital video disc (DVD) device, an audio tape device, a video tape device, a MIDI player, a cellular telephone, and/or a world wide web display, as taught by Hymel. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow a user to connect a variety of different types of peripheral devices to an overall system, thus allowing the user to perform a variety of functions (para. 2, lines 1-6 of Hymel). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hymel with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claims 41-44, 49-50 and 52.

**Regarding claims 56-63, 68, 70, 78 and 80:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the processing device is a DVD drive, CD drive, audio tape drive, video cassette device, removable media device, embedded audio recorder, embedded video recorder, non-volatile storage device, cellular telephone, world-wide web display, hardware for performing audio capture, and/or a disposable media writer.

Hymel discloses a user interface configured to allow a user to control as an output device (para. 10, lines 1-5 of Hymel) a DVD drive (para. 10, lines 14-15 and lines 20-21 of Hymel), CD drive (para. 10, lines 14-15 and lines 19-20 of Hymel), audio tape drive (audio tape drive is a type of audio player, MP3 player is merely an example) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 19 of Hymel), video cassette device (digital camcorder, which, as is well-known in the art, uses a digital video (DV) cassette tape) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 20 of Hymel), removable media device (the compact discs used in compact disc devices are well-known to be removable media devices) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and lines 19-20 of Hymel), embedded (para. 10, lines 22-26 of Hymel) audio recorder (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 19 of Hymel), embedded (para. 10, lines 22-26 of Hymel) video recorder (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 20 of Hymel), non-volatile storage device (compact disc devices and digital video disc devices are well-known to be non-volatile storage media devices) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and lines 19-21 of Hymel), cellular telephone (para. 10, lines 14-15 of Hymel), world-wide web display (figure 1 (130) and para. 11, lines 1-10 of

Art Unit: 2625

Hymel), hardware for performing audio capture (as is well-known in the art, part of the function of a digital camcorder is to capture audio signals, along with the video) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and line 20 of Hymel), and/or a disposable media writer (compact discs (CD-R's) and digital video discs (DVD±R's) are well-known to be disposable media) (para. 10, lines 14-15 and lines 19-21 of Hymel).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Hymel because they are from similar problem solving areas, namely the control of data storage and output. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the processing device be a DVD drive, CD drive, audio tape drive, video cassette device, removable media device, embedded audio recorder, embedded video recorder, non-volatile storage device, cellular telephone, world-wide web display, hardware for performing audio capture, and/or a disposable media writer, as taught by Hymel. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow a user to connect a variety of different types of peripheral devices to an overall system, thus allowing the user to perform a variety of functions (para. 2, lines 1-6 of Hymel). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hymel with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claims 56-63, 68, 70, 78 and 80.

**16. Claims 46, 54, 64-66 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon ("Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System", by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Stevens (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0010641 A1).**

**Regarding claims 46 and 54:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said user interface is configured to allow a user to control encryption hardware and/or a radio receiver.

Stevens discloses a user interface (figure 3(104) of Stevens) configured to allow a user to control encryption hardware (para. 54, lines 1-9 of Stevens) and a radio receiver (figure 3(110) and para. 36, lines 1-8 of Stevens).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Stevens because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the user interface taught by Sugiyama to allow a user to control encryption hardware and a radio receiver, as taught by Stevens. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve desired distributions of audio and video data over a controlled broadcast (para. 4, lines 1-5 of Stevens).

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Stevens with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claims 46 and 54.

**Regarding claims 64-66 and 74:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the processing device is an embedded multimedia server, audio encryption hardware, video encryption hardware, and/or a satellite radio receiver.

Stevens discloses controlling as an output device an embedded multimedia server (para. 53, lines 6-10 of Stevens), audio encryption hardware (para. 54, lines 1-4 and para. 57, lines 3-4 of Stevens), video encryption hardware (para. 54, lines 1-4 of Stevens), and/or a satellite radio receiver (para. 36, lines 1-6 of Stevens).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Stevens because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the processing device be an embedded multimedia server, audio encryption hardware, video encryption hardware, and/or a satellite radio receiver, as taught by Stevens. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve desired distributions of audio and video data over a controlled broadcast (para. 4, lines 1-5 of Stevens). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Stevens with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claims 64-66 and 74.

**17. Claims 51, 69, 71-73 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), Stevens (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0010641 A1), and McCarthy (US Patent 6,296,693 B1).**

**Regarding claim 51:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said user interface is configured to allow a user to control a two-way radio.

Stevens discloses a user interface (figure 3(104) of Stevens) configured to allow a user to control a radio receiver (figure 3(110) and para. 36, lines 1-8 of Stevens).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Stevens because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the user interface taught by Sugiyama to allow a user to control a radio receiver, as taught by Stevens. The



Art Unit: 2625

motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve desired distributions of audio and video data over a controlled broadcast (para. 4, lines 1-5 of Stevens). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Stevens with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Stevens does not disclose expressly that said radio is specifically a two-way radio.

McCarthy discloses using a two-way (CB) radio (column 7, lines 13-16 and lines 21-23 of McCarthy).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Stevens is combinable with McCarthy because they are from similar problem solving areas, namely the control of data communication hardware. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide for user control of a radio, as taught by Stevens, wherein said radio is specifically a two-way radio, as taught by McCarthy. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide the user with means of personal communication. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine McCarthy with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Stevens to obtain the invention as specified in claim 51.

**Regarding claims 69, 71-73 and 76:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the processing device is a two-way radio, a radio receiver for receiving AM signals, a radio receiver for receiving FM signals, a radio receiver for receiving short wave radio signals, and/or an emergency alert monitor for receiving emergency broadcast system alerts.

Stevens discloses controlling as an output device a radio receiver (para. 36, lines 1-6 of Stevens).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Stevens because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the processing device be a radio receiver, as taught by Stevens. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve desired distributions of audio data over a controlled broadcast (para. 4, lines 1-5 of Stevens). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Stevens with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Stevens does not disclose expressly that said radio receiver is a two-way radio, a radio receiver for receiving AM signals, a radio receiver for receiving FM signals, a radio receiver for receiving short wave radio signals, and/or an emergency alert monitor for receiving emergency broadcast system alerts.

McCarthy discloses output devices including a two-way (CB) radio (column 7, lines 13-16 and lines 21-23 of McCarthy), a radio receiver for receiving AM signals (column 7, lines 13-16 and lines 20-21 of McCarthy), a radio receiver for receiving FM signals (column 7, lines 13-16 and lines 20-21 of

Art Unit: 2625

McCarthy), a radio receiver for receiving short wave radio signals (column 7, lines 13-16 and lines 21-23 of McCarthy), and/or an emergency alert monitor for receiving emergency broadcast system alerts (column 7, lines 13-16 and lines 18-20 of McCarthy).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Stevens is combinable with McCarthy because they are from similar problem solving areas, namely the control of data communication hardware. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide for user control of a radio, as taught by Stevens, wherein said radio is specifically a two-way radio, a radio receiver for receiving AM signals, a radio receiver for receiving FM signals, a radio receiver for receiving short wave radio signals, and/or an emergency alert monitor for receiving emergency broadcast system alerts, as taught by McCarthy. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide the user with means of personal communication. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine McCarthy with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon and Stevens to obtain the invention as specified in claims 69, 71-73 and 76.

**18. Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Wedekind (US Patent 5,115,967).**

**Regarding claim 53:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that said user interface is configured to allow a user to control a climate sensor.

Wedekind discloses computer control (column 4, lines 53-58 of Wedekind) of a climate sensor (column 5, lines 3-9 of Wedekind).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Wedekind because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the user interface taught by Sugiyama to allow a user to control a climate sensor, as taught by Wedekind. The motivation for doing so would have been to control the overall climate of the room or building in which the printer system user is located. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Wedekind with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 53.

Art Unit: 2625

**19. Claim 75 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Rowe (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0003846 A1).**

**Regarding claim 75:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the processing device is a weather alert receiver.

Rowe discloses controlling as an output device a weather alert receiver (para. 62, lines 3-6 of Rowe).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Rowe because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a weather alert receiver as an output device, as taught by Rowe. The suggestion for doing so would have been that weather alert data is simply another form of useful multi-media data that a user may wish to obtain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Rowe with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 75.

**20. Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Poon (“Performance Analysis of Median Filtering on Meiko<sup>TM</sup> – A Distributed Multiprocessor System”, by K.M. Poon and N.H.C. Yung, *IEEE First International Conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, pages 631-639), and Abgrall (US Patent 6,373,498 B1).**

**Regarding claim 77:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon does not disclose expressly that the processing device is a weather alert receiver.

Abgrall discloses controlling as an output device hardware for performing VGA screen captures (column 12, lines 6-8 of Abgrall).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon is combinable with Abgrall because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use hardware to perform VGA screen captures, as taught by Abgrall. The suggestion for doing so would have been that a VGA screen capture is simply another form of useful multi-media data that a user may wish to obtain.

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Abgrall with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Poon to obtain the invention as specified in claim 77.

**21. Claims 81-84, 98-99 and 118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723).**

**Regarding claim 81:** Katsuo discloses a method (figure 2 of Katsuo) comprising:

- receiving media data from a media source (column 4, lines 5-8 of Katsuo).
- receiving input (column 5, lines 25-33 of Katsuo – input with respect to the particular cases, which is needed to compile the parallel program code), the input specifying a multimedia function to perform on the media (column 5, lines 33-44 of Katsuo), and specifying a distribution of processing power between a first processing device, and a second processing device to carry out the specified multimedia function (column 4, lines 22-30 and column 6, lines 40-49 of Katsuo). The image processing is distributed to the available processors (column 4, lines 22-30 of Katsuo) based at least partly on the configuration of the overall parallel processing system (column 6, lines 40-49 of Katsuo).
- determining a first portion of the processing to be allocated to the first processing device and a second portion of the processing to be allocated to the second processing device satisfying the distribution of processing power specified by the input (column 4, lines 22-30 and column 6, lines 40-49 of Katsuo).
- allocating the determined processing portions to the first processing device and the second processing device based on the distribution of processing power specified by the input (column 4, lines 22-30 and column 6, lines 40-49 of Katsuo).
- performing, by the first processing device, the allocated first portion of processing (column 4, lines 22-30 of Katsuo) to carry out the specified multimedia function (figure 6 and column 9, lines 25-40 of Katsuo).
- performing, by the second processing device, the allocated second portion of processing (column 4, lines 22-30 of Katsuo) to carry out the specified multimedia function (figure 6 and column 9, lines 25-40 of Katsuo).
- producing an electronic output associated with the processed media data (column 13, lines 20-32 of Katsuo – stored computer data results are a form of electronic output).

Katsuo does not disclose expressly that said media data is specifically *time-based* media data; that carrying out the specified multimedia function includes selecting a range of the time-based media; that

Art Unit: 2625

said input (and received input) is *user input*; that said first processing device is a printer; and producing a printed output comprising a first representation of the processed time-based media data.

Sugiyama discloses a printing system (figure 1 of Sugiyama) for performing a specified multimedia function on time-based media data (column 3, lines 41-61 of Sugiyama – frame(s) of video data are captured in a particular format, and various image processing operations are performed, based on condition settings), wherein carrying out the specified multimedia function includes selecting a range of the time-based media (column 3, lines 57-61 and column 4, lines 43-64 of Sugiyama – printing system captures a number of frames set by the user within a range based on when the user selects the particular frames for capture); receiving user input specifying multimedia functions to perform on the time-based media data (column 3, line 57 to column 4, line 8 of Sugiyama); and producing a printed output comprising a first representation of the processed time-based media data (column 4, lines 30-42 of Sugiyama).

Katsuo and Sugiyama are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely processing multimedia data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to specifically process time-based media data on a printing system, as taught by Sugiyama, said processor on said printing device being part of the overall parallel processing system taught by Katsuo and providing a printed output of time-based multimedia data. Thus, the first processing device taught by Katsuo is the printing system taught by Sugiyama. The second processing device taught by Sugiyama then simply becomes the processing device. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a useful type of video processing and printing apparatus. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would easily have recognized the utility of being able to print directly from the processing device that performs multimedia image data processing. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a user interface for setting processing parameters, as taught by Sugiyama, wherein said processing parameters (received by the user input) include the amount of processing to be performed by the printer and the processing device. The suggestion for doing so would have been that the user interface taught by Sugiyama enhances the ease with which process settings are performed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Sugiyama with Katsuo to obtain the invention as specified in claim 81.

**Further regarding claim 82:** Sugiyama discloses that the user input is received at the printer (figure 1(21-25) and column 3, lines 41-50 Sugiyama).

**Regarding claim 83:** Katsuo discloses that the user input is received at the processing device (figure 1(10) and column 5, lines 25-33 of Katsuo – input with respect to the particular cases, which is

Art Unit: 2625

needed to compile the parallel program code). As set forth above in the arguments regarding claim 81, said input is user input.

**Regarding claim 84:** Katsuo discloses that the processing device is a personal computer (column 4, lines 8-15 of Katsuo). Each arithmetic processor receives and executes computer program code. Thus, the processing device (second arithmetic processor) is a personal computer.

**Further regarding claim 98:** Sugiyama discloses that the multimedia function includes selecting a range of video data in response to received input from the user (column 3, lines 57-61 and column 4, lines 43-64 of Sugiyama – printing system captures a number of frames set by the user within a range based on when the user selects the particular frames for capture).

**Further regarding claim 99:** Sugiyama discloses that the multimedia function includes applying a video event detection function to the time-based media data (column 4, lines 51-64 of Sugiyama).

**Further regarding claim 118:** Sugiyama discloses that the multimedia function includes applying a visual inspection function to the time-based media data (column 4, lines 51-64 of Sugiyama).

**22. Claims 85-87, 90-91 and 101-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723) and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 85:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes selecting a range of audio data in response to received input from the user.

Chino discloses selecting a range of audio data in response to received input from the user (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino). Only the audio data that is intended to be input by the user is input in response to the appropriate user input, while any other noise is ignored by the system (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the user to input only a specifically desired range of audio data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 85.

**Regarding claim 90:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a sound source localization function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a sound source localization function to time-based media data (column 13, lines 5-14 of Chino). By using the gaze object detection portion of the multi-modal interface apparatus, the audio sound source localization is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a sound source localization function to the time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to ensure that user input is intended, and the user is not speaking to someone else (column 1, lines 52-58 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 90.

**Regarding claims 86 and 91:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying audio event detection to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying audio event detection to the time-based media data (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino). The system detects when audio data is intended to be input by the user, while any other noise is ignored by the system (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to detect audio data events, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claims 86 and 91.

**Regarding claim 87:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes determining a confidence level associated with the audio event detection.

Chino discloses that an audio event is detected (column 14, lines 8-11 of Chino) based on specific criteria that are to be met to the satisfaction of a computer automated system (column 14, lines 11-19 of Chino). Thus, a confidence level associated with the audio event detection is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to detect audio data events based on a determined confidence level, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to

Art Unit: 2625

prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 87.

**Regarding claim 101:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face detection function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face detection function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face detection function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 101.

**Further regarding claim 102:** Chino discloses applying a clustering function to the time-based media data to merge multiple instances of a face into a representative image (column 26, lines 1-12 of Chino).

**Regarding claim 103:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face recognition function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 103.

**Regarding claim 104:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying an optical character recognition function to the time-based media data.



Chino discloses applying an optical character recognition function to time-based media data (figure 3(102j) and column 7, lines 14–18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply an optical character recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that character recognition from an electronic pen is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 104.

**Further regarding claim 105:** Chino discloses applying a clustering function to the time-based media data to merge similar results of the optical character recognition (column 7, lines 15-21 of Chino). The particular language input by the user, such as German, Russian and Chinese, which use different character sets, is detected. The particular language determines the cluster of characters to use in optical character recognition (column 7, lines 15-21 of Chino).

**Regarding claim 106:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying a motion analysis function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a motion analysis function to time-based media data (figure 3(102f) and column 7, lines 33-38 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a motion analysis function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that detection of a user's motion and gestures is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 106.

**23. Claims 88-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723) and Kametani (US Patent 5,091,948).**

**Regarding claim 88:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speaker segmentation function to the time-based media data.

Art Unit: 2625

Kametani discloses applying a speaker segmentation function to time-based media data (figure 3d and column 5, lines 5–9 and lines 29–33 of Kametani).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Kametani because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speaker segmentation function to said time-based media data, as taught by Kametani. The motivation for doing so would have been that using a speaker segmentation function extracts parameters that uniquely identify a speaker, thus improving the level of speaker discrimination (column 5, lines 29–35 of Kametani). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kametani with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 88.

**Further regarding claims 89/81 and 89/88:** Kametani discloses applying a speaker recognition function to said time-based media data (column 5, lines 29–35 of Kametani).

**24. Claims 92–93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723) and Halverson (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0101513 A1).**

**Regarding claim 92:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speech recognition function to said time-based media data.

Halverson discloses applying a speech recognition function to time-based media data (para. 24, lines 2–5 and para. 25, lines 21–23 of Halverson).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Halverson because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speech recognition function, as taught by Halverson. The motivation for doing so would have been that speech is a useful and natural form of human input (para. 25, lines 11–14 of Halverson). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Halverson with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 92.

**Further regarding claim 93:** Halverson discloses applying a profile analysis function to the time-based media data (para. 23, lines 4–7 of Halverson).

Art Unit: 2625

**25. Claims 94 and 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Halverson (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0101513 A1), and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 94:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Halverson does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying audio event detection to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying audio event detection to the time-based media data (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino). The system detects when audio data is intended to be input by the user, while any other noise is ignored by the system (column 14, lines 8-18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Halverson is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to detect audio data events, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent unintended and erroneous audio input (column 14, lines 10-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Halverson to obtain the invention as specified in claim 94.

**Regarding claim 97:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Halverson does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a sound source localization function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a sound source localization function to time-based media data (column 13, lines 5-14 of Chino). By using the gaze object detection portion of the multi-modal interface apparatus, the audio sound source localization is determined.

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Halverson is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a sound source localization function to the time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to ensure that user input is intended, and the user is not speaking to someone else (column 1, lines 52-58 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Halverson to obtain the invention as specified in claim 97.

Art Unit: 2625

**26. Claims 95-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Halverson (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0101513 A1), Chino (US Patent 6,118,888), and Kametani (US Patent 5,091,948).**

**Regarding claim 95:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Halverson and Chino does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speaker recognition function to the time-based media data.

Kametani discloses applying a speaker recognition function to said time-based media data (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kametani).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Halverson and Chino is combinable with Kametani because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speaker recognition function to said time-based media data, as taught by Kametani. The motivation for doing so would have been that using a speaker recognition function extracts parameters that uniquely identify a speaker, thus improving the level of speaker discrimination (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kametani). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kametani with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Poon, Halverson and Chino to obtain the invention as specified in claim 95.

**Regarding claim 96:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Halverson and Chino does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a speaker segmentation function to the time-based media data.

Kametani discloses applying a speaker segmentation function to time-based media data (figure 3d and column 5, lines 5-9 and lines 29-33 of Kametani).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Halverson and Chino is combinable with Kametani because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a speaker segmentation function to said time-based media data, as taught by Kametani. The motivation for doing so would have been that using a speaker segmentation function extracts parameters that uniquely identify a speaker, thus improving the level of speaker discrimination (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kametani). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kametani with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama, Halverson and Chino to obtain the invention as specified in claim 96.

Art Unit: 2625

**27. Claims 100 and 108-109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723) and Krumm (US Patent 6,611,622 B1).**

**Regarding claim 100:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a color histogram analysis function to said time-based media data.

Krumm discloses applying a color histogram analysis function to time-based media data (figure 2 (202) and column 8, lines 46-47 of Krumm).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Krumm because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a color histogram analysis function to the time-based media data, as taught by Krumm. The motivation for doing so would have been to better identify people or objects in scenes generated subsequent to a model scene (column 8, lines 53-58 of Krumm). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Krumm with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 100.

**Regarding claim 108:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a foreground/background segmentation function to said time-based media data.

Krumm discloses applying a foreground/background segmentation function to time-based media data (column 10, lines 13-15 of Krumm).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Krumm because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a foreground/background segmentation function to the time-based media data, as taught by Krumm. The motivation for doing so would have been that the foreground segment is needed to further segment for the purpose of identifying people and objects in an image (column 10, lines 15-18 of Krumm). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Krumm with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 108.

**Regarding claim 109:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a scene segmentation function to said time-based media data.

Krumm discloses applying a scene segmentation function to time-based media data (column 10, lines 15-18 of Krumm).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Krumm because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would

Art Unit: 2625

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a scene segmentation function to the time-based media data, as taught by Krumm. The motivation for doing so would have been that segmenting the foreground scene is needed to identify people and objects in an image (column 10, lines 15-18 of Krumm). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Krumm with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 109.

**28. Claim 107/81 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723) and Kim (US Patent 6,594,377 B1).**

**Regarding claim 107/81:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a distance estimation function to said time-based media data.

Kim discloses applying a distance estimation to image media data (column 3, lines 33-36 of Kim).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Kim because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply distance estimation, as taught by Kim, to the time-based media data taught by Sugiyama. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine if the user, or a relevant part of the user, is within the required operational range (column 4, lines 28-34 of Kim). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kim with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 107/81.

**29. Claim 107/106 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Chino (US Patent 6,118,888), and Kim (US Patent 6,594,377 B1).**

**Regarding claim 107/106:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Chino does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a distance estimation function to said time-based media data.

Kim discloses applying a distance estimation to image media data (column 3, lines 33-36 of Kim).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Chino is combinable with Kim because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply distance estimation, as taught by Kim, to the time-based media data taught by Sugiyama. The motivation for doing so would have been to

Art Unit: 2625

determine if the user, or a relevant part of the user, is within the required operational range (column 4, lines 28-34 of Kim). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kim with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Chino to obtain the invention as specified in claim 107/106.

**30. Claims 110-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Krumm (US Patent 6,611,622 B1), and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 110:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face recognition function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 110.

**Regarding claim 111:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face detection function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face detection function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face detection function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 111.

**Regarding claim 112:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying an optical character recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying an optical character recognition function to time-based media data (figure 3(102j) and column 7, lines 14–18 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply an optical character recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that character recognition from an electronic pen is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 112.

**Regarding claim 113:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face recognition function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face recognition function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face recognition function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 113.

**Regarding claim 114:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a face detection function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a face detection function to time-based media data (figure 20(406) and column 24, lines 25–27 of Chino).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a face detection function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine which particular user corresponds to the current user by recognition of the current user's face (column 26, lines 20-22 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Krumm to obtain the invention as specified in claim 114.



Art Unit: 2625

**31. Claims 115 and 117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723) and Gerber (US Patent 5,568,406).**

**Regarding claim 115:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying an automobile recognition function to said time-based media data.

Gerber discloses applying an automobile recognition function to time-based media data (column 8, lines 42-45 of Gerber).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Gerber because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based image data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply an automobile recognition function to said time-based media data, as taught by Gerber. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine from the time-based media data whether or not the automobile in the time-based media data is stolen (column 8, lines 45-46 of Gerber). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Gerber with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 115.

**Regarding claim 117:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama does not disclose expressly that said multimedia function includes applying a license plate recognition function to said time-based media data.

Gerber discloses applying a license plate recognition function to time-based media data (column 3, lines 42-47 and lines 63-64 of Gerber).

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama is combinable with Gerber because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based image data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a license plate recognition function to said time-based media data, as taught by Gerber. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine from the time-based media data whether or not the automobile in the time-based media data is stolen (column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 2 of Gerber). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Gerber with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claim 117.

**32. Claim 116 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsuo (US Patent 5,721,883) in view of Sugiyama (USPN 5,633,723), Gerber (US Patent 5,568,406), and Chino (US Patent 6,118,888).**

**Regarding claim 116:** Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Gerber does not disclose expressly that the multimedia function includes applying a motion analysis function to the time-based media data.

Chino discloses applying a motion analysis function to time-based media data (figure 3(102f) and column 7, lines 33-38 of Chino).

Art Unit: 2625

Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Gerber is combinable with Chino because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control and processing of time-based media data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply a motion analysis function to time-based media data, as taught by Chino. The suggestion for doing so would have been that detection of a user's motion and gestures is simply another useful electronic means to input data into a computerized system (figure 3 and column 7, lines 2-11 of Chino). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chino with Katsuo in view of Sugiyama and Gerber to obtain the invention as specified in claim 116.

### ***Conclusion***

33. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Thompson whose telephone number is (571)272-7441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edward L. Coles/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/James A Thompson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

27 June 2008